

the rejection is completely avoided. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection under 35 USC 112, paragraph 1.

Claims 13-16, 18 and 20 stand rejected under 35 USC 112, paragraph 2. Since Claims 13-16 and 20 have been cancelled, the rejection against such claims is completely avoided. With respect to Claim 18, the Examiner noted that the amount of the active ingredient was not stated. Claim 18 has been amended to indicate the composition contains an effective amount of the compound of Claim 1. Since this precisely defines the amount of the compound present in the composition, the rejection is avoided. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection under paragraph 2 of 35 USC 112.

Claims 13-16 stand rejected under 35 USC 103 as being obvious over Sciavolino in combination with the Ross or Daniels et al. references. Since Claims 13-16 have been cancelled, the rejection is completely avoided.

Applicant's attorney respectfully submits that in view of the above amendments and discussions, the rejections and objections of the Official Action of 24

1716P

U.S.S.N. 358,736  
Case No. 16568  
Page 4

March 1983 have been completely avoided and that the claims are in condition for allowance. A prompt Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By David L. Rose

David L. Rose  
Reg. No. 26,332  
Attorney for Applicant

MERCK & CO., Inc.  
P.O. Box 2000  
Rahway, New Jersey 07065  
(201)574-4777

Date: June 23, 1983

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. on the date appearing below.

MERCK & CO., INC.

By David L. Rose Date 23 June 1983